

## Introduction to Numerical and Physical Modelling Helmut Habersack

Michael Tritthart, Christine Sindelar, Christoph Hauer,

Marcel Liedermann

BOKU University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences Vienna



United Nations • UNESCO Chair on Educational, Scientific and • Integrated River Research and Management Cultural Organization • Vienna, Austria













## Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Problems and boundary conditions
- 3. Physical Modelling
- 4. Numerical Modelling
- 5. Examples
- 6. Summary and outlook





### Sediment needs management

#### Due to:

#### Too much sediment

Obstruction of channels Storages Rivers fill and flood Reefs get smothered Turbidity

#### **Too little sediment**

Beaches erode Riverbanks erode Wetlands are lost River profile degradation

#### Sediment as resource

Construction material Sand for beaches Wetland nourishment Soil enrichment Habitat and food for life







Sediment = "no waste" = essential & integral element of river-sea systems

Source scheme: Martin (2002)









### Purpose and types of physical models Purpose

Determination of hydraulic impacts on hydraulic structures before they are built (hydro-engineering facilities are very expensive and require a lot of planning in most cases) under controlled conditions (the experiment can be repeated as often as necessary):

- Occurrence of flow is very complex / not entirely covered by theoretical considerations
- Verification or proof of theoretical approach to the calculation

Evaluation of complex 3D flow processes by:

Physical models:

Numerical models

- Reproduction of nature at a reasonable scale
  - Hybrid models
  - Analogy models





# Purpose and types of models

### Theoretical and practical limitations of application

- Physical models:
  - Model size
  - Discharge
  - Pump capacity
  - Minimum scale of model
  - Model dimensions
  - Methods and data logging
  - Availability of initial and boundary conditions
     Hybrid models:

- Numerical models:
  - Memory capacity, processing speed
  - Model approach: e.g.: turbulence models
  - Spatial and temporal resolution (downward limitation)
  - Availability of initial and boundary conditions

Linking of physical and numerical models:

- Parts of the problem are solved numerically, other parts are solved physically
- Verification of new numerical solution approaches using data from nature and model tests
   Helmut Habersack





### **Basic scale ratios:**

- $\phi_r = \phi_n / \phi_m$ , scale value = parameter in nature / parameter in the model
  - Length:  $L_r = L_n / L_m$
  - Time durations:  $T_r = T_n / T_m$
  - Forces:  $K_r = K_n / K_m$
- Similarities:
  - Geometric similarity: lengths L similarity of form
  - Kinematic similarity: time durations T similarity of motion
  - Dynamic similarity: forces F similarity of forces
- Miniaturisation of geometry requires an adaption of time periods and forces and their derived variables → depending on chosen law of similarity
- Flow conditions in nature are usually turbulent, therefore flow conditions in scale models should also be turbulent





### **Dynamic laws of similarity:**

- Inertia force / gravity force = v<sup>2</sup> / g L = Fr<sup>2</sup> (Froude number)
- Inertia force / friction force = v.L / v = Re (Reynolds number)
- Inertia force / pressure force = r.v<sup>2</sup> / p = Eu (Euler number) ... p: input parameter
- Inertia force / surface force = r.v<sup>2</sup>.L / S = We (Weber number)
- Inertia force / elastic forces (Sarrau-Mach number)...incompressible: neglected
- Complete dynamic similarity (all relations of force are similar) is not achievable; depending on the problem only 2 dominant forces are taken into consideration at the same time
- In physical scale models mainly processes dominated by gravity and friction force are represented (Froude or Reynolds similitude)





### Law of similarity after Froude (inertia force / gravity force):

- Application, conversion factors
  - Application for models with free water surface (dominated by gravity)
  - Conversion of time, velocity and discharge true to scale:

$$L_{r} = \frac{L_{n}}{L_{m}}$$

$$A_{r} = L_{r}^{2}$$

$$v_{r} = L_{r}^{1/2} (\text{bei } g_{r} = 1)$$

$$T_{r} = \frac{L_{r}}{v_{r}} = L_{r}^{1/2}$$

$$Q_{r} = v_{r} \cdot A_{r} = L_{r}^{5/2}$$

With L = Length, A = Area, v= Flow velocitiy, T=Time, Q = Discharge

 Flow conditions in the model and nature are the same (also point of change from subcritical to supercritical flow conditions)





### Law of similarity after Froude (inertia force / gravity force):

- Limitations (Strobl):
  - Is the neglect of friction forces acceptable? (possible compensation by model distortion)
  - Over-representation of surface tension in the model
  - Less air input in the model
  - Cavitation processes are not transferable
  - Elastic behaviour of materials in the model





### Physical scale models Model laws

Law of similarity after Reynolds (inertia force / friction force):

- Application of small Reynolds number and laminar flow: pressure losses in very smooth pipes, friction resistance of vessels, resistance of immersed moving bodies, ...
- Conversion:

$$L_{r} = L_{n} / L_{m}$$

$$A_{r} = L_{r}^{2}$$

$$v_{r} = L_{r}^{-1} (bei \rho_{r} = \mu_{r} = 1)$$

$$T_{r} = \frac{L_{r}}{v_{r}} = L_{r}^{2}$$

$$Q_{r} = v_{r} \cdot A_{r} = L_{r}$$

With L = Length, A = Area, v= Flow velocitiy, T=Time, Q = Discharge





### example: model test of the construction of a distributary of the river Steyr as a bed load deposition area

- conversion: scale 1:23, discharge NQ / HQ1 / HQ30 / HQ100, fixed river bed
- aims: construction of a distributar with permanent discharge in the floodplains of Himmlitz (Steyr), reduced bed load transport rates in case of flood events by selective bed load deposition, study of alternatives, impacts of low flow conditions

#### results:

- Minimisation of construction size of intake area (from ecological and economic view)
- Reduction of length of side weir
- Efficiency (bed load input into distributary) depending on discharge (HQ<sub>1</sub> to HQ<sub>100</sub>) between 75% and 85%.







### Physical scale models Model laws

#### Models with flexible river bed

- Short models (friction processes  $\rightarrow$  slope of water surface neglectable)
  - Discharge process:  $\Pi = f (Fr, d / h, \Delta = (r_s r_{Fl})/r_{FL}, Fr^* = u^{*2} / (\Delta g d), Re^* = (u d) / v)$
  - Similarities to consider:
    - Similarity after Froude, similarity of initiation of sediment motion, similarity of sediment transport



 If the Re\* of grain > 70 at hydraulic smooth discharge the Re\* - number can be left out in scale calculations

Initiation of motion (Shields Diagram) from Zanke, U.: initiation of motion as a probability problem. Water and soil, volume 1, S. 40-43 Helmut Habersack





### Model laws

### Models with flexible river bed

- Short models (friction processes  $\rightarrow$  slope of water surface neglectable)
- Long models (friction processes  $\rightarrow$  slope of water surface not neglectable)
  - Similarities to consider:
    - similarity after Froude,
    - similarity of initiation of sediment motion,
    - similarity of sediment transport,
    - similarity of water level





Surface model, Integrated River Engineering Project on the Danube East of

### Vienna

- realisation: TU Vienna, Institute for Hydraulic Engineering and Hydrometrical examination
- aim: application of granulometric river bed stabilisation



source: final report, hydraulic engineering model test, surface model, Integrated River Engineering Project on the Danube East of Vienna (2008)



#### result:

Layer of coarse gravel material with a grain size curve of d = 40-70 mm withstands pressures up to 40-45 N/m<sup>2</sup>



Abbildung 160 - Versuchsserie 3, Längsprofile bei Zwischenstufe 1





#### Channel model, Integrated River Engineering Project on the Danube East of Vienna

- realisation: TU Vienna, Institute for Hydraulic Engineering and Hydrometrical examination
- aim: applicability of granulometric river bed stabilisation



source: final report, hydraulic engineering model test, surface model, Integrated River Engineering Project on the Danube East of Vienna (2008)







# Physical hydraulic modelling

### **Analysis and Interpretation**

- example: Modelling of distribution mechanisms of fish larvae
  - Time series from ADV measuring (duration: 30 seconds)
  - field ADV from Flow measuring



Flume: Hydraulic Engineering Lab BOKU





Flow field from 3D numerical model, in layer 2 of 4

Flow field from 3D numerical model, depth-averaged





### Physical hydraulic modelling Analysis and Interpretation

 example: weir reconstruction, hydropower plant Hallein and flood protection Hallein at the Salzach river at a scale of 1:50

> Weir and bed load construction



photo: Experimental hall Severingasse, federal agency of water management Vienna, Institute for Hydraulic Engineering and Hydrometrical examination, upstream view





# Physical hydraulic modelling

### **Analysis and Interpretation**

- example: Hybrid model for the water management master plan of the Salzach river
  - Project area: 53,3 km river reach at the lower Salzach river
  - aim: prevention of lowering of river bed and threat of sudden erosion of river bed down to fine clay
  - Solution approaches: Initial measures: ramps, groynes, training structures



#### Detail physical model



Results from depth-variances analysis, from the water management master plan of the Salzach river



0



### Sediment continuity



Sindelar, Schobesberger, Habersack, Geomorphology, 2017





### Sediment continuity







hw

-0.1





Interaction sediment transport and turbulence / cohesive material and mathematical descriptions: PIV – measurements in combination with LES (Large Eddy Simulations)

#### (PIV) BOKU: 1000 hz (detection of coherent structures) – analysis Reynolds-Stress terms

Measurements of shear stress and flow velocity



PIV and PTV measurements of sediment dynamics (IWHW – BOKU Wien)



THE WORLD BANK



#### Large Eddy Simulations (LES):









# Improved process understanding as basis for numerical modelling

#### **PIV-Measurements IWA**





#### Lichtneger et al., 2018 subm.









# Improved process understanding as basis for numerical modelling

Tomo-PIV-Measurements IWA/BOKU



Schobesberger et al., J. Hydraulic Engineering, 2019

#### Physical Modelling in Hydraulic UNESCO Chair on Integrated River Research and Managemen **Engineering Laboratories**



in small scale physical models it is not possible, to reproduce variables (viscosity, hydraulic-jump, roughness, ...) correctly. Thus, the Transferability of results to nature contains unavoidable errors. - especially for experiments including sediment dynamics.



uni Twin

United Nations Educational, Scientific and

Cultural Organization + Vienna, Austria

the *higher* the selected *model scale*, the *lower* the risk of unavoidable errors in nature.





# Research Channel – New BOKU Hydraulic Eng. Lab.

• Scale issues  $\rightarrow$  10.000 l/s free flowing







# Numerical Modelling

### Governing equations of sediment transport modelling

(simplified 1-D view)

United Nations

Vienna, Austria

Cultural Organization

Energy balance equation water

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{Q^2}{A} \right) + gA \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} + gAI_R = 0$$

Continuity equation water

 $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} = 0$ 

Flow formula

$$u_m = k_s R_h^{\frac{2}{3}} I_R^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Continuity equation sediment

Sediment transport equation (example)

$$(1-n_p)\frac{\partial A_s}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Q_s}{\partial x} - q_s = 0$$

$$q_{s} = c_{\text{MPM}} \sqrt{\frac{\rho_{s} - \rho}{\rho} g d_{m}^{3}} \left[ \frac{u_{*}^{2}}{\frac{\rho_{s} - \rho}{\rho} g d_{m}} - \theta_{c,\text{MPM}} \right]^{3}}$$





### Methods of sediment transport modelling

Uncoupled method	Water movement $\rightarrow$ sediment movement $\rightarrow$ change of the river bed
Coupled method	All variables (flow, sediment, morphodynamics) are determined simultaneously
"Known- Discharge" method	During a time step the discharge is considered to be constant (therefore only sediment continuity and steady-state water surface elevation calculations)





### Incipient motion

Bed shear stress 1-D:

 $\tau = \rho g I_R h$  (via critical bed shear stress)

Critical bed shear stress after Shields:

Stability condition:

$$\frac{\tau}{g(\rho_s - \rho)d} = \frac{\tau_c}{g(\rho_s - \rho)d}$$

 $\tau_c = \theta_c (\rho_s - \rho) g d$ 

 $\theta = \theta_c$ 

heta ... Shields parameter resp. grain Froude number (Fr\*)





### **Incipient motion**

(via critical bed shear stress)







### Abrasion



(Habersack)

$$dw = -a_w w \, ds \quad \Rightarrow \quad w = w_0 e^{-a_w s}$$

 $a_w$  ... Material constant (empirical)

- w... Particle weight
- s ... Transport distance





### Bedload transport formulas

#### **Deterministic formulae**

→ empirical relationships, based on critical flow velocity, critical discharge or (often) critical bed shear stress

→ e.g. Meyer-Peter & Müller (1948), Ackers & White (1973)

#### Formulae based on statistic considerations

➔ Consideration of the turbulent fluctuations by statistical formulations

→ e.g. Einstein (1950), Sun & Donahue (2000)





# Selection and adaption of bedload transport formulas

#### **General considerations:**

- Formulae often derived from empirical data obtained in *laboratory* flumes under certain (controlled) conditions
- Often rather *limited data base* for formulae derivation

#### **Conclusions:**

- ➔ No generally valid bedload transport equations for all slopes, sediments or flow conditions!
- → Range of applicability of the formulae to be considered during application (see "Arbeitsbehelf Feststofftransport")!
- ➔ Perform selection and calibration of formulae based on <u>field</u> <u>measurement data</u>!





# Aims for BOKU iSed model development

### Development of an integrated sediment transport and morphodynamics model

- Usage of the flow field as provided by coupling with external hydrodynamic models (2D and 3D)
- Calculation of nonuniform sediment transport with unlimited number of grain size fractions
- Consideration of an unlimited number of bed layers
- Calculation of morphodynamics and sorting processes
- Separate implementation of suspended sediment and bedload transport (processes can be calculated independently of each other)





### iSed – suspended sediment transport I

Transport governed by an advection-diffusion equation (evaluated for every grain fraction) :

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (u_1 c)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial (u_2 c)}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( K_t \frac{\partial c}{\partial x_1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left( K_t \frac{\partial c}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left( s_{dep} - s_{ero} \right)$$

- Solution by applying a generalized Finite Volume Method on control volumes of arbitrary shape (different mesh types in different hydrodynamic models)
- Exchange with the river bed modelled by sedimentation and erosion fluxes (source and sink terms)





### iSed – suspended sediment transport II

Deposition flux according to Van Rijn (1984):

$$s_{dep} = w_{ci} \frac{C_i}{F_i} \qquad F_i = \frac{\left(\frac{z_{0i}}{h}\right)^{Z_i^{*'}} - \left(\frac{z_{0i}}{h}\right)^{\beta_n}}{\left(1 - \frac{z_{0i}}{h}\right)^{Z_i^{*'}} (\beta_n - Z_i^{*'})}$$

Erosion flux according to Garcia and Parker (1991):

$$s_{ero} = w_{ci} p_i E_{sk,i}$$
  $E_{sk,i} = \frac{A(\lambda Z_{mi})^5}{1 + \frac{A}{0,3} (\lambda Z_{mi})^5}$ 





### iSed – bedload transport

- Four equations for nonuniform bedload transport: Meyer-Peter/Müller (1948), van Rijn (1984), Egiazaroff (1965), Hunziker (1995)
- Meyer-Peter/Müller equation nonuniform formulation (ATV-DVWK, 2003):



- p<sub>i</sub> ... Anteil der Kornfraktion i
- d<sub>ref</sub> ... Referenzkorndurchmesser (=d<sub>m</sub>)
- $\alpha$  ... Expositionskorrekturkoeffizient





# iSed – bed evolution and sorting

Exner equation for calculation of bed evolution considering bedload and suspended sediment transport:

$$(1 - n_p)\frac{\partial z_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial q_{si,x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q_{si,y}}{\partial y} = s_{dep,i} - s_{ero,i}$$

Sorting processes – exchange layer concept:



Thickness of the exchange layer: calibration parameter





# Model validation – 180° bend

Laboratory experiment by Yen and Lee (1995)



- Rectangular flume, bed slope I=0.0002
- Duration of the experiment: 300 min
- Underlying hydrodynamics: RSim-3D (polyhedral cells, 8 layers)







### Model validation – 180° bend

Comparison of measured and modelled bed elevations:







### Calibration – 3D hydrodynamic model

Calibration by adjusting the roughness values (5080 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>)







# Validation – 3D hydrodynamic model







 Calibration by adjusting erosion and deposition parameters 15 (3800 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> with a Suspended Sediment Concentration of 10 mgl<sup>-1</sup>) Susp. 0 0 CS3 CS2 20 ncentration Susp. Sed. Conc. CS 2 (mgj<sup>-1</sup>) 15 1.5 CS1 3.0 20 4.5 10 6.0 (mg<sup>1</sup> 7.5 9.0 Sec 5 10.5 12.0 135

 Validation of the erosion and deposition parameters at two independent discharges (5530 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> with S.S.C. of 30 mgl<sup>-1</sup>, 3840 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> with S.S.C. of 12 mgl<sup>-1</sup>)

Vienna, Austria

Integrated River Research and Managemen

United Nations Educational, Scientific and

Cultural Organization

→ Application of the calibrated & validated model for all variants at a discharge of 5530 m<sup>3</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> (3 months steady-state simulation)







### iSed – pilot reach Danube







# Validation of simulation results based on measurement data

### Bridge cross-section Hainburg



Bedload: high variability; model results represent mean values

Suspended load: good agreement





# Sediment transport in bed forms







### Sediment transport in bed forms







### Reservoir example #1: Rodund







### Sedimentation in reservoir 1

140.000 m<sup>3</sup> sediment deposit in 2007

→ reservoirs were dredged

2011 approx. 366.000 m<sup>3</sup> sediments



#### Legende Auflandung \*

Synthesis: Proposal of measures to achieve concentrated sedimentation patches for reduced dredging effort













Suspended sediment concentrations per operation mode





## Example Rottau

- Flushing
- Reservoir management







#### **Sedimentation AB Rottau**







#### Reservoir Rottau









#### Shear stress existing situation







### Scenario 1: Taking out the guiding wall



With guiding wall

Without guiding wal





#### **Comparison of existing situation with scenario 1**

#### Model with guiding wall



Flow velocities of 1.5 – 2.4 ms<sup>-1</sup> at free flowing discharge in the river channel

#### Model without guiding wall, scenario 1



- Flow velocities of up to 0.9ms<sup>-1</sup> in the reservoir
- Significant reduction of flow velocities in the upper part of the reservoir





Suspended sediment concentration in the existing condition 11.10.2013 09:00 – 16:15





#### United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

### Summary

- ➤ Sediment management is essential for reservoirs but also the downstream free flowing sections → there is a high sensitivity e.g. in Asian river basins
- > Modelling is a basic instrument for reservoir design, optimisation and management
- Sediment transport is still a demanding subject but essential
- ➢ Physical modelling of sediment transport forms the basis for understanding fundamental processes → the larger the scale the better the results
- In many complex reservoir configurations and detailled studies physical modelling is still needed in order to analyse sedimentation, sediment continuity and remobilisation
- Numerical modelling gains increasing importance and capabilities and is used to study the basic configurations and scenarios (the most promising scenarios often have to be tested and improved in physical models)
- > Numerical models are only as good as the used formulas AND the data that are used
- In future hybrid models will become more important by combining eg long reach and long term numerical modelling with physical modelling of detailled scenarios and solutions Helmut Habersack





### Many thanks for your attention!

### Univ. Prof. DI Dr. Helmut Habersack

UNESCO Chair on Integrated River Research and Management

Christian Doppler Laboratory for Sediment Research and Management

Institute of Hydraulic Engineering and River Research

Department of Water, Atmosphere and Environment

BOKU – University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Vienna

Muthgasse 107, 1190 Wien

Tel.: +43 1 47654 0

Fax: +43 1 47654 149

helmut.habersack@boku.ac.at

http://www.wau.boku.ac.at







### 1D - sediment transport models

	ENT	Д	2000	1-12		\S4.1	1	ο	0	-River	0	HEC6
	BASEM	COHEII	<b>FLORIS</b>	FLUVIA	HEC-6	HEC-R/	MIKE 1	MORM	SETRA(	SOBEK	SRH-10	WASPI-
Hersteller der Software												
<u>N</u> ichtkommerziell/ <u>K</u> ommerziell	Ν	Ν	к	Ν	ΚN	Ν	к	Ν	Ν	к	Ν	к
Unterstützte Betriebssysteme												
UNIX												
Linux	х											
Windows (DOS)	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	×
OS/2												
Feststofftransport												
Schwebstoff	х	х	х	х	х		х	х		х	х	×
Geschiebe	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	x
Modellkoppelung												
Ge <u>k</u> oppelt/ <u>U</u> ngekoppelt	U	U	G	К	U	К	К	U	U	U	U	U
Grafische Ausgabemöglichkeit												
Lageplan		х					х		х			
Längenschnitt		х	х	х	х	х	х		х	х	х	×
Querprofil		х	х	х	х	х	х		х	х	х	×
Verknüpfung mit Software												
CAD						х			х			×
GIS		х				х	х	х		х		
Schwebstoff												
Kohäsives Sediment		х	х	х	х		х			х	х	×
Nichtkohäsives Sediment	х	х	х	х	х		х	х		х	х	×
Geschiebe												
Schwebstoff/Geschiebe getrennt	х		х		х	х	х		х		х	×
Gesamttransport (total load)		х		х				х		х	х	
Kornfraktionen der Sieblinie												
Maximale Anzahl ( <u>U</u> nbegrenzt)	U	20	10	8	16	20	U	25	U	3	U	16
Gewässersohle												
Anzahl Schichten ( <u>U</u> nbegrenzt)	U	U	2	2	2	2	U	50	2	2	U	2
Deckschichtbildung berücksichtigt	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х		х	×
Raumdiskretisierung												
F <u>D</u> M/F <u>B</u> M/F <u>V</u> M	V	D	V	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D





### 2D - sediment transport models

	ABNT	ß	6	R	GS-2D	SS-2D		210	Δ	IAC-2D
	BASEI	HH S	FLO-2	FLUM	Hydro	Hydro	Sed	MIKE	SED-2	TELEV
Hersteller der Software										
Nichtkommerziell/Kommerziell	N	N	к	к	к	к	N	к	к	ΚN
Unterstützte Betriebssysteme										
UNIX				х			х			×
Linux	х			х			х			
Windows	х	х	х		х	x	x	х	x	×
OS/2										
Feststofftransport										
Schwebstoff	(x)	х		х		х	х	х	х	×
Geschiebe	х	x	х	х	х		х	x		×
Modellkoppelung										
Ge <u>k</u> oppelt/ <u>U</u> ngekoppelt	U	U	U	U	к	к	U	к	U	к
Grafische Ausgabemöglichkeit										
Lageplan		х	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	×
Längenschnitt		х	х		х	х	х	х	х	×
Querprofil		х	х		х	х	х	х	х	×
Verknüpfung mit Software										
CAD			х	х	х	х			х	
GIS			х	х	х	х		х	х	
Schwebstoff										
Kohäsives Sediment		х				х			х	
Nichtkohäsives Sediment	(x)	х		х		х	х	х	х	×
Geschiebe										
Schwebstoff/Geschiebe getrennt	(x)						х			
Gesamttransport (total load)		х		х	х			х		×
Kornfraktionen der Sieblinie										
Maximale Anzahl ( <u>U</u> nbegrenzt)	U	8	U	2	1	5	U	16	1	1
Gewässersohle										
Anzahl Schichten (Unbegrenzt)	U	U	2	1	1	1	U	1	1	U
Deckschichtbildung berücksichtigt	х	х		х			х			
Raumdiskretisierung										
FDM/FEM/FVM	V	V	D	V	V	V	V	D	Е	Е





### 3D - sediment transport models

	GFX	Delft3D	FLOW-3D	FLUENT	Sed	RSim-3D	WIISS	TELEMAC-3D
Hersteller der Software								-
Nichtkommerziell/Kommerziell	к	к	к	к	N	N	N	КN
Unterstützte Betriebssysteme								
UNIX	х		х	х	х	х		×
Linux	х	х	х	х	x	х	х	
Windows	х	х	х	х	x	х	х	×
OS/2							x	
Feststofftransport								
Schwebstoff	x	х	x	х		x	x	×
Geschiebe		х			х		x	
Modellkoppelung								
Ge <u>k</u> oppelt/ <u>U</u> ngekoppelt	к	к	к	К	U	К	К	к
Grafische Ausgabemöglichkeit								
Lageplan	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	×
Längenschnitt	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	×
Querprofil	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	×
Verknüpfung mit Software								
CAD	х		х	х				
GIS		х				х		
Schwebstoff								
Kohäsives Sediment		х						×
Nichtkohäsives Sediment	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	
Geschiebe								
Schwebstoff/Geschiebe getrennt		х			х		х	
Gesamttransport (total load)		х						
Kornfraktionen der Sieblinie								
Maximale Anzahl ( <u>U</u> nbegrenzt)	U	99	1	U	U	8	U	1
Gewässersohle								
Anzahl Schichten ( <u>U</u> nbegrenzt)	1	U	1	1	U	1	2	U
Deckschichtbildung berücksichtigt					х			
Raumdiskretisierung								
FDM/FEM/FVM	V	D	D	V	V	V	V	E